



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/035,832

12/26/2001

David W. Morris

PP23698.0001/20366-027001

1729

55255 7590 03/01/2010  
Novartis Vaccines and Diagnostics, Inc.  
Corporate Intellectual Property  
P.O. BOX 8097  
EMERYVILLE, CA 94662-8097

EXAMINER

HOLLERAN, ANNE L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1643

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/01/2010

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/035,832	MORRIS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	ANNE L. HOLLERAN	1643	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** allowed

 (1) ANNE L. HOLLERAN.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Michael Hebert.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 February 2010
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*To resolve printer query, examiner called applicants' representative to discuss amendment to the specification filed 7/22/2002. Amendments A2 and A3 were not entered. Examiner agreed that amendment A3 should have been entered (amendment to page 11, starting at line 21). This amendment was made for the purpose of adding a sequence identification number to identify sequence "WSXWS". Examiner agreed that amendment A2 should NOT have been entered, and applicants' representative stated that amendment A2 was an obvious error.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Alana M. Harris, Ph.D./

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)